Closing

In view of the foregoing, the entire application is believed to be in condition for allowance, and such action is respectfully requested at the Examiner's earliest convenience. If, in the opinion of the Examiner, an interview would expedite prosecution of this application, the Examiner is invited to call the undersigned attorney at the telephone number shown below.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: July 24, 2002

S. Ashley Webb

Reg. No. 51,104

Swernofsky Law Group PC P.O.Box 390013 Mountain View, CA 94039-0013 (650) 947-0700

This application is submitted in the name of the following inventor:

| 2 | | | |
|----|--------------------------|---------------------------|--|
| 3 | Inventor | Citizenship | Residence City and State |
| 4 | Malcolm, Michael | United States | Los Altos, California |
| 5 | | | |
| 6 | The assign | ee is CacheFlow, Inc | z., a Delaware corporation having an office |
| 7 | at 650 Almanor Avenue, | Sunnyvale, Californi | a 94086. |
| 8 | | | • |
| 9 | This applica | ation is a continuation o | of application serial no. 09/127,249, filed July |
| 10 | 31, 1998 (now. allowed). | | |
| 11 | | | |
| 12 | | Title of the | Invention |
| 13 | | | |
| 14 | | Multiple Cache (| Communication |
| 15 | | | |
| 16 | | Background of | the Invention |
| 17 | | | |
| 18 | 1. Field of the Inven | tion | |
| 19 | | | |
| 20 | This inven | tion relates to caches. | |
| 21 | | | |

2. Related Art

In a computer system in which client devices request information from one or more server devices, it is sometimes desirable to provide a cache; that is, a device that maintains copies of requested information so multiple requests for the same information can be satisfied at the cache. When requests for information are satisfied at the cache, the server devices need not receive the requests, process them, and retransmit the same information over a communication channel that links the client devices and the server devices. For example, the server devices can be web servers, the client devices can be web clients, the communication channel can be an IP network such as the Internet, and the requested information can be web objects.

Some information requested from the server devices is considered not cacheable, for one or more of several reasons. As examples, the server can refuse to allow the information to be cached, or the information can be a result of a dynamic process that can provide differing results for the same request (so caching would obviate the operation of that dynamic process). An example of dynamically processed information could include advertisements, database searches, or output from CGI scripts.

However, it often occurs that non-cacheable information is requested a second time without having changed, so the second request to the server results in identical information being returned. In a system with multiple communicating caches, transmit-

ting the same information from a first cache to a second cache (when each already has a 1 copy) is an inefficient use of communication resources.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

2

Accordingly, it would be desirable to provide a method and system for operating a set of multiple communicating caches, in which transmission of repeated information is substantially reduced or eliminated. A first aspect of the invention is to maintain information at each cache to improve the collective operation of multiple communicating caches. A second aspect of the invention is to substantially reduce the amount of information transmitted between multiple communicating caches. A third aspect of the invention is to refrain from unnecessarily transmitting the same data from a first cache to a second cache when the latter already has a copy.

12

13

Summary of the Invention

14

15

16

The invention provides a method and system for operating a set of multiple communicating caches. Between caches, unnecessary transmission of repeated information is substantially reduced.

18

19

20

21

22

17

In a first aspect of the invention, each cache maintains information to improve the collective operation of the system of multiple communicating caches. This can include information about the likely contents of each other cache, or about the behavior of client devices or server devices coupled to other caches in the system.

In a second aspect of the invention, pairs of communicating caches substantially compress transmitted information. This includes both compression in which the receiving cache can reliably identify the compressed information in response to the message, and compression in which the receiving cache will sometimes be unable to identify the compressed information.

In a third aspect of the invention, a first cache refrains from unnecessarily transmitting the same information to a second cache when each already has a copy. This includes both maintaining a record at a first cache of information likely to be stored at a second cache, and transmitting a relatively short identifier for that information in place of the information itself.

In a preferred embodiment, a set of caches are disposed in a directed graph structure, with a set of root caches disposed for coupling to server devices and a set of leaf caches disposed for coupling to client devices. Both root caches and leaf caches store non-cacheable objects beyond their initial use, along with relatively short identifiers for the non-cacheable objects. When a server device returns identical information to a root cache in response to a request for a non-cacheable object, that root cache transmits only the identifier of the non-cacheable object to the requesting leaf cache, avoiding retransmitting the entire object if the leaf cache still has the object.

Brief Description of the Drawings

Figure 1 shows a block diagram of a system having multiple caches.

Figure 2 shows a process flow diagram for a method of using a system having multiple caches.

Detailed Description of the Preferred Embodiment

In the following description, a preferred embodiment of the invention is described with regard to preferred process steps and data structures. Those skilled in the art would recognize after perusal of this application that embodiments of the invention can be implemented using one or more general purpose processors or special purpose processors or other circuits adapted to particular process steps and data structures described herein, and that implementation of the process steps and data structures described herein would not require undue experimentation or further invention.

Inventions disclosed herein can be used in conjunction with inventions disclosed in one or more of the following patent applications:

| | | MARKED-UP SPECIFICATION |
|----|---|--|
| 1 | 0 | Provisional U.S. Application 60/048,986, filed June 9, 1997, in the name of in- |
| 2 | | ventors Michael Malcolm and Robert Zarnke, titled "Network Object Cache En- |
| 3 | | gine", assigned to CacheFlow, Inc., attorney docket number CASH-001 (P). |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | o | U.S. Application Serial No. 08/959,058, filed October 28, 1997, in the name of in- |
| 6 | | ventors Michael Malcolm and Ian Telford, titled "Adaptive Active Cache Re- |
| 7 | | fresh", assigned to CacheFlow, Inc., attorney docket number CASH-003. |
| 8 | | |
| 9 | o | U.S. Application Serial No. 08/959,313, filed October 28, 1997, in the name of in- |
| 10 | | ventors Doug Crow, Bert Bonkowski, Harold Czegledi, and Tim Jenks, titled |
| 11 | | "Shared Cache Parsing and Pre-fetch", assigned to CacheFlow, Inc., attorney |
| 12 | | docket number CASH-004. |
| 13 | | |
| 14 | o | U.S. Application Serial No. 09/093,533, filed June 8, 1998, in the name of inven- |
| 15 | | tors Michael Malcolm and Robert Zarnke, titled "Network Object Cache Engine", |
| 16 | | assigned to CacheFlow, Inc., attorney docket number CASH-001. |
| | | |

17

18 and

o PCT International Application PCT/US 98/11834, filed June 9, 1997, in the name of assignee CacheFlow, Inc., and inventors Michael Malcolm and Robert Zarnke, titled "Network Object Cache Engine", attorney docket number CASH-001 PCT.

These applications are referred to herein as the "Cache Disclosures," and are hereby incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

4 / / /

5 System Elements

Figure 1 shows a block diagram of a system having multiple caches.

A system 100 includes a cache system 110, at least one client device 120, and at least one server device 130.

Client Device

Each client device 120 is coupled to the cache system 110 using a client communication path 121. The client communication path 121 can include a dial-up connection, a LAN (local area network), a WAN (wide area network), an ATM network, an IP network (such as an internet, intranet, or extranet), or some combination thereof. In a preferred embodiment, the client communication path 121 includes a dial-up connection, such as for coupling a subscriber to an ISP (internet service provider), or a LAN, such as for coupling a workstation to an internet connection.

As used herein, the terms "client" and "server" refer to relationships between the client or server and the cache [110], not necessarily to particular physical devices.

As used herein, the term "client device" includes any device taking on the role of a client in a client-server environment. There is no particular requirement that the client devices [110] 120 must be individual devices; they can each be a single device, a set of cooperating devices, a portion of a device, or some combination thereof.

Server Device

Each server device 130 is also coupled to the cache system 110 using a server communication path 131. The server communication path 131 can include a dial-up connection, a LAN (local area network), a WAN (wide area network), an ATM network, an IP network (such as an internet, intranet, or extranet), or some combination thereof. In a preferred embodiment, the server communication path 131 includes an internet backbone and an internet connection between the cache system 110 and the internet backbone.

As used herein, the term "server device" includes any device taking on the role of a server in a client-server environment. There is no particular requirement that the

cooperating devices, a portion of a device, or some combination thereof. The server device 130 includes memory or storage 132 for recording one or more web objects 133. The web objects 133 can include any type of data suitable for transmitting to the client device [110] 120, such as the following: text, color, formatting and directions for display; pictures, data in graphical formats (such as GIF or JPEG), other multimedia data; animation, audio (such as streaming audio), movies, and video (such as streaming video), and other data in audio or visual formats (such as MPEG): program fragments, including applets, Java, JavaScript, and ActiveX; and other web documents (such as when using frames); and other data types (such as indicated by future extensions to HTML, DHTML, SGML, XML, or similar languages).

server devices 130 must be individual devices; they can each be a single device, a set of

| 1 | / | / | / |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | | |

4 Cache System

The cache system 110 includes a set of caches 111. The set of caches 111 comprises a variety of caches, preferably including root caches, leaf caches, intermediate caches and individual caches. Each cache 111 is designated a "leaf cache" if it is coupled to one or more client communication paths 121, and is designated a "root cache" if it is coupled to one or more server communication paths 131. The cache system 110 includes an inter-cache communication path 112 for communication between and among caches 111.

The inter-cache communication path 112 can include a plurality of direct connections, a LAN (local area network), a WAN (wide area network), an IP network (such as an internet), or some combination thereof. In a preferred embodiment, the intercache communication path 112 includes a plurality of direct connections between pairs of individual caches 111.

In a preferred embodiment, the caches 111 in the cache system 110 are disposed in a graph structure. One or more leaf caches 111 are coupled to client communication paths 121, and one or more root caches 111 coupled to one or more server commu-

nication paths 131. Where appropriate, a set of intermediate caches 111 are coupled to

2 the leaf caches 111 and to the root caches 111.

3

4 110 disposed for use with an ISP (internet service provider), there is one root cache 111

5 coupled to an internet backbone, and there is one leaf cache 111 for each POP (point of

presence). In this example, the inter-cache communication path 112 includes direct con-

nections (such as T1 or T3 connections) between the root cache 111 and each leaf cache

8 111.

9

6

7

Cache Devices

11

12

13

14

15

10

Each cache 111 includes a processor, program and data memory, and memory or storage [112] 114 for recording one or more web objects 133. Each cache 111 retains the web objects 133 for repeated serving to client devices 120 in response to web requests.

16

17

18

19

20

In a preferred embodiment, each cache 111 includes a router-switch 113, for receiving messages and distinguishing types of messages that should be processed by the cache 111 from those that should not. For example, the router-switch 113 can divert all requests using FTP (file transfer protocol) or HTTP (hypertext transfer protocol) to the cache 111 for processing, while passing through other types of requests unchanged.

22

In a preferred embodiment, each cache 111 includes a cache device such as
described in the Cache Disclosures, hereby incorporated by reference as if fully set forth
therein, and is disposed for operating as described therein.

4 Multiple Cache Communication

Each leaf cache 111 receives requests from client devices 120 for web objects 133. The web objects 133 might be cacheable or non-cacheable.

If a client device 120 requests a cacheable web object 133, the leaf cache 111 might already have the requested web object 133 in its memory or storage [112] 114. If so, the leaf cache 111 serves the requested web object 133 to the client device 120 without having to request the web object 133 from the root cache 111 or from the server device 130. If the leaf cache 111 does not already have the requested web object 133, the leaf cache 111 requests it from the root cache 111.

The root cache 111 performs a similar caching function, returning the requested cacheable web object 133 directly to the leaf cache 111 if it is already present in its own memory or storage [112] 114, without having to request that web object 133 from the server device 130. If the root cache 111 does not already have the requested web object 133 in its memory or storage [112] 114, the root cache 111 requests it from the server device [120] 130.

If the leaf cache 111 and the root cache 111 do not already have a copy of
the web object 133 in their respective memory or storage [112] 114, the root cache 111
requests the web object 133 from the server device 120. Similarly, if the web object 133
sidered not cacheable, the root cache 111 requests the web object 133 from the server device 120 whether or not it has already that web object 133 in their respective memory or
storage [112] 114. The server device [120] 130 receives the request and returns the requested web object 133 to the root cache 111.

Objects Already in Storage

The root cache 111 receives the requested web object 133 from the server device [110] 130, records it in its memory or storage [112] 114, and determines an object signature 134 for the web object 133. In a preferred embodiment, the root cache 111 computes the object signature 134 itself. In alternative embodiments, the server device [120] 130 may compute and record the object signature 134 and transmit it to the root cache 111 with the web object 133.

In a preferred embodiment, the object signature 134 includes an MD5 digest of the web object 133. In alternative embodiments, the object signature 134 may comprise a CRC, MD4, SHA, or other known function of the web object 133.

| 1 | There is no particular need for any device to be able to recover the web ob- |
|---|--|
| 2 | ject 133 a priori from the object signature 134. It is sufficient that the root cache 111 or |
| 3 | the leaf cache 111 can determine, in response to the object signature 134, if the web ob- |
| 4 | ject 133 is present in its memory or storage [112] 114, and if so, which web object 133 |
| 5 | corresponds to that object signature 134. |
| | |

If the web object 133 is cacheable but was requested from the server device [110] 130, the request from the server device [120] 130 was due to a cache miss. However, it can still occur that the leaf cache 111 (or some intermediate cache 111) already has the web objects 133 in its memory or storage [112] 114, such as recorded in association with a different URL (uniform resource locator) or other identifier. In a preferred embodiment, each cache 111 records web objects 133 in association with the URL used to request those web objects 133.

For a first example, multiple server devices [120] <u>130</u> can record mirror copies of identical web objects 133. For a second example, non-identical web objects 133 can include identical embedded web objects 133 (such as common graphics, animation, or program fragments).

If the web object 133 is considered non-cacheable, it was requested from the server device [120] 130 because non-cacheable web objects 133 are not meant to be served from the cache 111. However, it can still occur that the leaf cache 111 (or some

intermediate cache 111) already has the web objects 133 in its memory or storage [112]

2 <u>114</u>, because the non-cacheable web object 133 had been requested earlier.

For a first example, if the web object 133 is responsive to a CGI script or database search, it can be identical to the results of an earlier response to that CGI script or database search. For a second example, if the web object 133 is determined dynamically by the server device 130 (such as randomly selected advertisements), it can be identical to an earlier advertisement transmitted by the server device 130.

The root cache 111 transmits the object signature 134 to the leaf cache 111. The leaf cache 111 determines, in response to the object signature 134, whether it already has the associated web object 133 in its memory or storage [112] 114 and if so, which one is the associated web object 133. If so, the leaf cache 111 serves the associated web object 133 to the client device 120 from its memory or storage [112] 114 without the root cache 111 having to actually transmit the entire web object 133. If not, the root cache 111 transmits the actual web object 133 to the leaf cache 111, which can then serve it to the client device 120.

In a preferred embodiment, the root cache 111 includes a bitmap [114] 115 in its memory or storage [112] 114 for each non-cacheable web object 133, including one bit [115] 116 for each leaf cache 111. Each bit [115] 116 of the bitmap [114] 115 indicates whether its associated leaf cache 111 has a copy of the web object 133.

The root cache 111 directly transmits the actual web object 133 to the leaf cache 111 if the associated bit [115] 116 of the bitmap [114] 115 indicates that the leaf does not have the web object 133. If the bit [115] 116 indicates that the leaf cache 111 does have the web object 133, the root cache 111 attempts to transmit only the object signature 134. However, even if the bit [115] 116 indicates that the leaf cache 111 does have the web object 133, it may occur that the leaf cache 111, being a cache, has discarded the web object 133 in the interim. In this case, the leaf cache 111 so indicates and re-requests the web object 133 from the root cache 111.

In a preferred embodiment, when the root cache 111 transmits the object signature 134 to the leaf cache 111, it so indicates using a data type, such as a MIME type, or a new type of object, indicating that the transmission includes only the object signature 134.

Compression for Transmission

When transmitting actual web objects 133 between caches 111 (such as from the root cache 111 to the leaf cache 111), those web objects 133 are substantially compressed for transmission and decompressed after reception. Compression for transmission can be applied both to cacheable and to non-cacheable web objects 133.

Compression for transmission can include various techniques, such as Huffman coding, Liv-Zempel compression, or other known lossless compression. Compression for transmission can also include known lossy compression, such as JPEG, MPEG, or other audio and video codec techniques, when appropriate for the type of web object 133.

Those skilled in the art will recognize, after perusal of this application, that transmission of the object signature 134 in place of the actual web object 133 is a form of substantial compression. This form of compression is unreliable, in the computer science sense that the receiver is not guaranteed to be able to recover the web object 133 from its object signature 134. In fact, using this form of compression the leaf cache 111 can only do so if the web object 133 is already recorded in its memory or storage [112] 114.

Unreliable Dictionary Compression

As used herein, "dictionary compression" means a form of communication in which a sender and a destination each maintain a set of dictionary elements and a set of associated tag values, each tag value being representative of one of the dictionary elements. There is no particular requirement that the dictionary elements can be recovered from their associated tag values without further information. Rather, dictionary compression refers generally to a system in which the dictionary elements can be associated with arbitrary tag values.

The sender and the destination each associate the same tag value with the same dictionary element. For example, the sender can transmit the dictionary element, along with an arbitrarily selected tag value, to the destination to make the association. Systems in which the sender does this, and the destination maintains a dictionary of such tag values in response thereto, are known in the art.

As used herein, "unreliable" dictionary compression means that the destination might possibly discard the association between the tag value and the dictionary element.

In a preferred embodiment, each dictionary element includes a complete web object 133, and the tag value associated with each particular web object 133 is a known function of that particular web object 133. The known function is preferably an MD5 signature, as noted herein.

In a preferred embodiment, the destination (because it is a cache) can discard any particular web object 133, and thus lose the association between that particular web object 133 and its MD5 signature. That is, the destination (because it has discarded the particular web object 133) can no longer determine if a particular MD5 signature is associated with any known web object 133. Moreover, the destination cannot determine the web object 133 in response to the MD5 signature without further information.

| 4 | |
|---|--|
| | |
| | |

Transmission of the object signature 134 in place of the actual web object 133 is a form of dictionary compression in which the entire actual web object 133 is the dictionary element. If the leaf cache 111 has discarded that dictionary element, it requests the root cache 111 to retransmit the actual web object 133 using a second form of compression. For example, the second form of compression can include a known lossless compression technique such as Liv-Zempel compression or the form of compression used in the PKZIP product available from PKWare, Inc.

Those skilled in the art will recognize, after perusal of this application, that unreliable dictionary compression is applicable in various other applications that can use compression. In a preferred embodiment, unreliable compression is acceptable because the root cache 111 can retransmit the web object 133 using a more reliable (but possibly less strong) compression technique.

Other Web Object Information

The root caches 111 and the leaf caches 111 can also exchange other information about the web objects 133.

In a preferred embodiment, the cache system 110 collectively maintains information for each web object 133 regarding the following:

A probability the web object 133 in the cache system 110 will be next requested by some client device 120. This information will likely be best available at the leaf caches 111.

6 and

o A probability the web object 133 in the cache system 110 will be stale. This information will likely be best available at the root caches 111.

The cache system 110 can collectively determine from this information whether the web object 133 is the next web object 133 recorded by the cache system 110 to be served state. As described in the Cache Disclosures, particularly attorney docket numbers CASH-003 and CASH-004, this information can be used to determine which web objects 133 to actively refresh.

Active refresh can also be applied to frequently-requested non-cacheable web objects 133, and distributed within the cache system 110, even though those web objects 133 are re-requested from the server devices 120 each time. Active refresh is well suited to web objects 133 such as advertisements, news reports, stock quotes, weather reports, and the like.

| 1 | The cache system 110 can also maintain information about each web object |
|----|---|
| 2 | 133 regarding at which cache 111 in the cache system 110 that web object 133 is re- |
| 3 | corded. With this information, the root cache 111 can request cached web objects 133 |
| 4 | from one of the leaf caches 111, in addition to or instead of re-requesting the web objects |
| 5 | 133 from server devices 120. |
| 6 | |
| 7 | Method of Operation |
| 8 | |
| 9 | Figure 2 shows a process flow diagram for a method of using a system |
| 10 | having multiple caches. |
| 11 | |
| 12 | A method 200 is performed by the system 100, including the cache system |
| 13 | 110, the client devices 120, and the server devices 130. |
| 14 | |
| 15 | At a flow point 210, one of the client devices 120 is ready to request a web |
| 16 | object 133. |
| 17 | |
| 18 | At a step 211, one of the client devices 120 sends a message to its associ- |
| 19 | ated leaf cache 111 requesting a selected web object 133. The request message preferably |
| 20 | uses the FTP or HTTP protocol, and includes a URL for the selected web object 133. |
| 21 | |

| 1 | At a step 212, the leaf cache 111 determines if the web object 133 is cache- |
|----|---|
| 2 | able or non-cacheable. If the web object 133 is cacheable, the method 200 proceeds with |
| 3 | the next step. If the web object 133 is non-cacheable, the method 200 proceeds with the |
| 4 | flow point 220. |
| 5 | |
| 6 | At a step 213, the leaf cache 111 determines if the web object 133 is present |
| 7 | in its memory or storage [112] 114. In a preferred embodiment, the leaf cache 111 makes |
| 8 | this determination in response to the URL for the selected web object 133 included in the |
| 9 | request from the client device 120. If the web object 133 is present, the method 200 pro- |
| 10 | ceeds with the next step. If the web object 133 is not present, the method 200 proceeds |
| 11 | with the flow point 220. |
| 12 | |
| 13 | At a step 214, the leaf cache 111 serves the web object 133 to the client de- |
| 14 | vice 120. The method 200 continues with the flow point 210. |
| 15 | |
| 16 | At a flow point 220, the leaf cache 111 is unable to serve the web object |
| 17 | 133 from its memory or storage [112] 114, either because there has been a leaf cache miss |
| 18 | or because the web object 133 is non-cacheable. |
| 19 | |
| 20 | At a step 221, similar to the step 211, the leaf cache 111 sends a message to |
| 21 | the root cache 111 requesting the web object 133. |
| | |

| 1 | At a step 222, similar to the step 212, the root cache 111 determines if the |
|----|--|
| 2 | web object 133 is cacheable or non-cacheable. If the web object 133 is cacheable, the |
| 3 | method 200 proceeds with the next step. If the web object 133 is non-cacheable, the |
| 4 | method 200 proceeds with the flow point 230. |
| 5 | |
| 6 | At a step 223, similar to the step 213, the root cache 111 determines if the |
| 7 | web object 133 is present in its memory or storage [112] 114. In a preferred embodiment, |
| 8 | the root cache 111 makes this determination in response to the URL for the selected web |
| 9 | object 133 included in the request from the client device 120. If the web object 133 is |
| 10 | present, the method 200 proceeds with the next step. If the web object 133 is not present, |
| 11 | the method 200 proceeds with the flow point 230. |
| 12 | |
| 13 | At a step 224, similar to the step 214, the root cache 111 transmits the web |
| 14 | object 133 to the leaf cache 111. The method 200 continues with the flow point 210. |
| 15 | |
| 16 | At a flow point 230, the root cache 111 is unable to transmit the web object |
| 17 | 133 from its memory or storage [112] 114, either because there has been a root cache |

19

20

21

18

At a step 231, similar to the step 211, the root cache 111 sends a message to the indicated server device 130 requesting the web object 133. The request message pref-

miss or because the web object 133 is non-cacheable.

erably uses the FTP or HTTP protocol, and includes a URL for the selected web object 133.

At a step 232, the server device 130 transmits the web object 133 to the root cache 111.

At a step 233, the root cache 111 determines an object signature 134 for the web object 133.

At a step 234, the root cache 111 determines if the web object 133 is present in its memory or storage [112] 114. In a preferred embodiment, the root cache 111 makes this determination in response to the object signature 134. If the web object 133 is present, the method 200 proceeds with the next step. If the web object 133 is not present, the method 200 proceeds with the flow point 240.

At a step 235, the root cache 111 determines if the web object 133 is likely present at the requesting leaf cache 111. In a preferred embodiment, the root cache 111 makes this determination in response to the bitmap 114 for the web object 133. If the web object 133 is likely present at the leaf cache 111, the method 200 proceeds with the next step. If the web object 133 is likely not present at the leaf cache 111, the method proceeds with the flow point 240.

| 1 | At a step 236, the root cache 111 transmits the object signature 134 to the |
|----|--|
| 2 | leaf cache 111. |
| 3 | |
| 4 | At a step 237, the leaf cache 111 determines if the web object 133 is present |
| 5 | in its memory or storage [112] 114, in response to the object signature 134. If the web |
| 6 | object 133 is not present, the method 200 proceeds with the next step. If the web object |
| 7 | 133 is present, the method 200 proceeds with the flow point 240. |
| 8 | |
| 9 | At a step 238, the leaf cache 111 transmits a message to the root cache 111 |
| 10 | indicating that the web object 133 is not present. |
| 11 | |
| 12 | At a step 239, the root cache 111 transmits the actual web object 133 to the |
| 13 | leaf cache 111. As noted above, the actual web object 133 is compressed for transmission |
| 14 | and decompressed upon reception. |
| 15 | |
| 16 | At a flow point 240, the leaf cache 111 is ready to serve the web object 133 |
| 17 | to the requesting client device 120. The method proceeds with the step 214. |
| 18 | |

1 Alternative Embodiments

- 3 Although preferred embodiments are disclosed herein, many variations are
- 4 possible which remain within the concept, scope, and spirit of the invention, and these
- 5 variations would become clear to those skilled in the art after perusal of this application.